IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.416 OF 2005

Ananta Krishna Gondhale & Ors. .....Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra .....Respondent

.....

Shri.A.P. Pitale for Applicants
Mrs. M.M. Deshmukh, A.P.P. for Respondent

.....

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

DATE : DECEMBER 2, 2005

P.C.

1. Heard Counsel for the parties. Counsel for the Applicants is unable to point out any material from the record to doubt the correctness of the opinion recorded by the Trial Court in paragraph No.7 while analysing the evidence on record. It is noted that the evidence on the material points have remained unchallenged, namely, unlawful demand of money by the accused, the complainant was illtreated, beaten and starved on failure to pay Rs.15,000/- and was driven out of the house with the threat that, if she fails to bring that amount, she will not be taken in the house.

2. If this finding is accepted as it is, which has been confirmed by the Appellate Court, in my opinion, there is no infirmity in the ultimate conclusion reached

by the Courts below in recording the finding of guilt against the accused for the alleged offence.

3. No case for interference in the exercise of the rivisional jurisdiction is made out. Hence dismissed.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.